1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
8		* * *	
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
10	Plaintiff,	3:09-CR-00046-LRH-RAM	
11	v.)) ORDER	
12	GILBERTO LOPEZ-MONJARAZ,))	
13	Defendant.		
14)	
15	Before the Court is Defendant's Motion Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255(f)(3) to Vacate, Set		
16	Aside or Correct Sentence by a Person in Federal Custody. Doc. #64. ¹ The United States filed a		
17	Response (Doc. #66), to which Defendant did not reply.		
18	This is Defendant's second § 2255 motion asserting ineffective assistance of counsel for		
19	failure to challenge his sentence under <i>Apprendi v. New Jersey</i> , 530 U.S. 466 (2000). Because		
20	Defendant did not obtain authorization from the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth		
21	Circuit to consider a successive § 2255 motion, the Court is without jurisdiction to consider it. See		
22	United States v. Allen, 157 F.3d 661, 664 (9th Cir. 1998) (failure to request the requisite		
23	authorization to file a second or successive § 2255 motion deprives the district court of		
24	jurisdiction); see also 28 U.S.C. § 2255(h). Accordingly, Defendant's Motion is denied.		
25	///		
26	Refers to the Court's docket number.		
	II		

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant's Motion Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
2	2255(f)(3) to Vacate, Set Aside or Correct Sentence by a Person in Federal Custody (Doc. #64) is	
3	DENIED.	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5	DATED this 15th day of September, 2014.	
6	LAPRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
1516		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		